

Review

The Evolution of Sentinel Node Biopsy in Urological Malignancy

Tharani Mahesan, Alberto Coscione, Ben Ayres, Nick Watkin.^{1*}¹Department of Urology, St George's Hospital, Tooting, London, UK.

Abstract

Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) provides an accurate staging tool for a variety of malignancies including urological cancers. Initially introduced as a single procedure technique, SLNB has evolved into a dynamic multi-stage procedure that has an invaluable impact on the management of cancer patients. After a thorough literature search, this article summarizes the advancement of the SLNB procedure since its conception. The introduction of radioactive tracers and blue dye has resulted in a highly sensitive technique but one around which concerns persist. This paper updates existing material on the topic by examining the potential of near infra-red fluorescence optical imaging agents (NIRF) and anatomical fusion imaging as useful adjuncts to the currently practiced technique. SLNB has an established role in penile cancer with a well-documented improvement in morbidity and mortality rates. The presence of sentinel nodes in pelvic malignancy is controversial but papers have established that the technique is feasible for use in such cancers and that NIRF may have a role to play here in the future. SLNB currently has no place in the management of testicular or renal malignancy.

Keywords: Sentinel nodes; Lymph nodes; Penile cancer

Introduction

In recent years sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) has revolutionized the management of patients with suspected regionally advanced malignancy. Widely used in both breast cancer and melanoma as well as urological malignancy, the technique is built around Halsted's theory which recognizes that cells from the primary tumor spread sequentially along the lymph chain becoming trapped in the first node and spreading further only once that node becomes overwhelmed (1). These first nodes are known as sentinel nodes (SNs) and were initially described in parotid malignancy by Gould in 1960 (2). Removal and pathological analysis of such nodes, allows clinicians to stage disease and identify patients in need of further regional or systemic

treatment. It is preferred compared to more extensive surgery as it achieves lower morbidity rates relative to those seen in regional lymph node dissection (LND) (3).

The existence of SNs was previously confirmed and marked using lymphangiograms intra-operatively and now lymphangioscintograms preoperatively. However, dependence on these techniques alone meant that location of such nodes by the surgeon was still largely determined anatomically and did not allow for inter-patient variation (4). This changed in 1989 with the introduction of patent blue dye as a means of cutaneous lymph node mapping (5). Identification of SNs using blue dye mapping, with an injection at the site of the primary tumor, was first proposed for breast cancer in

*Corresponding author: Mr Nick Watkin. Department of Urology, St George's Hospital, Tooting, London, UK. Email:

Nick.watkin@stgeorges.nhs.uk

Citation: Mahesan T, et al. The Evolution of Sentinel Node Biopsy in Urological Malignancy. Cancer Research Frontiers. 2015 Feb; 1(1): 25-36

Copyright: © 2015 Mahesan T, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

The abbreviations used: LN, lymph nodes; LNDs, lymph node dissections; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NIRF, near infra-red fluorescence optical imaging agents; SLNB, sentinel lymph node biopsy; SN, sentinel nodes; SPECT-CT, single photon emission computed tomography combined with CT; US, ultrasound.

Received November 14, 2014; Revised January 15, 2015; Accepted January 19, 2015; Published February 16, 2015. Edited by Dr. Michael Yang

1994 and is now widely used in SLNB for a variety of malignancies (6).

The introduction of hand held gamma radiation probes intra-operatively in SLNB was reported as an alternative to blue dye mapping by a team at the University of Vermont Medical Centre. They went on to propose that this method had a number of advantages over its counterpart, namely that it can be used to confirm that the correct node has been biopsied as well as identifying the potential existence of remaining undissected SNs (7).

In its current form SLNB is not without limitations. Several papers have raised concerns about the high false negative rates, a recent paper citing a figure as high as 15% in penile cancer (8). It is anticipated that addition of newer techniques to dynamic SNLB will improve this and literature currently available explores a number of options.

Whilst not widely implemented, a role for a portable gamma camera was proposed as a potential alternative in centres where preoperative lymphoscintigraphy was not available. Trialled in breast and gynaecology malignancy, as well as head and neck cancers, studies have shown that it may be useful in visualizing and identifying nodes intra-operatively (9-10) even identifying nodes not seen preoperatively (11). It may also have a role in confirming removal of all radioactive nodes after a surgeon has completed the resection (12). Whether a defined role for the gamma camera becomes apparent in urological SLNB remains unclear but is unlikely given that studies have demonstrated the superiority of the conventional preoperative imaging in detecting SNs (13).

More promising however, is hybrid imaging using the concept of anatomical fusion. In 2006 a study in Sweden proposed the use of hybrid single photon emission computed tomography combined with CT (SPECT-CT) as an alternative to planar imaging to improve the SLNB technique in bladder cancer. They determined a significant improvement in the localization of SNs preoperatively, citing that preoperative lymphoscintigraphy using the hybrid technique identified 21 lymph nodes in five patients, compared to just two seen with conventional planar imaging (14). Such hybrid imaging has also been studied in penile and renal cancer with evidence that it is feasible for use in this role (15-16) as well as in gynaecological, breast and head and neck cancers (17-19).

Perhaps the most significant recent step in the evolution of sentinel node biopsy was the introduction of NIRF. NIRF provides a non radioactive, more penetrative, real time alternative to the tracers currently in use. It was studied in mice in 2003 (20) and in 2005, Melancon et al. demonstrated this to be a more effective method of identifying SNs in breast cancer, identifying all six superficial cervical nodes compared to T1 weighted MR which identified just four (21). In 2011 NIRF, in the form of indocyanine, was introduced to urological malignancy and used to identify lymphatic pathways in 14 patients with prostate cancer (22). Studies since then have shown that it can be used in a similar role for bladder cancer (23) but it was only earlier this year, after successfully identifying sentinel drainage in nine out of ten patients with bladder cancer and 38 of 50 patients with prostate cancer, that NIRF has been demonstrated as a feasible technique for aiding SLNB in robotically assisted procedures (24-25).

The concept of a hybrid tracer, combining the success of radioactive tracers and NIRF imaging was first suggested for prostate cancer in 2011 (26). In 2012, Brouwer et al. proposed indocyanine green-(99m)Tc-nanocolloid, a multimodal tracer as an replacement for blue dye in penile cancer. They not only demonstrated increased sensitivity, citing that it allowed visualisation of 96.8% of SNs compared to blue dye which stained just 55.7%, but that it could have a dual role as a suitable replacement for (99m)Tc-nanocolloid with which it has the same lymphatic drainage pattern (27-28). The concept of the hybrid tracer has yet to be widely implemented but there is support for its use in penile, prostate and breast cancer (29-30).

Whilst there is evidence to support the use of all the above discussed techniques independently, the majority of recent studies have demonstrated the benefits of synergistic use of the techniques. It is in combination, mainly that of preoperative lymphoscintigraphy, radioactive tracer and patent blue dye with gamma probe detection, that it is used in dynamic sentinel lymph node biopsy for urological malignancies today.

Use in penile cancer

The use of SLNB in penile cancer was first recommended by Cabanas in 1977 (31). By studying 100 patients he was able to support the existence of a sentinel node in disseminated penile malignancy. He performed lymphangiogram guided sentinel lymph node biopsies on 46 patients concluding that a positive

SLNB was a good indicator that a patient should proceed to full inguinofemoroiliac LND.

Despite support from Cabanas, SLNB fell out of a favor with urologists due to poor reproducibility. It was only

after positive experiences from both breast cancer and melanoma, as well as the introduction of patent blue dye injection and radiolabelling, that acceptance of the use and reliability of SLNB in the management of locally advanced penile malignancy was achieved (32-34).

Table 1: SLNB in penile cancer

Author, year (ref)	Cohort size	Methodology	Conclusion
<i>Introduction and Development of technique</i>			
Cabanas et al, 1977(31)	46	Prospective	Feasibility of SLNB in penile cancer (lymphangiograms alone)
Horenblas et al, 2000 (32)	55	Prospective	Feasibility of dynamic SLNB in penile cancer (lymphoscintigraphy, patent blue dye and gamma probe)
Crawshaw et al, 2009 (36)	64	Prospective	Superiority of SLNB combining dynamic SLNB and US guided fine needle aspiration over dynamic SLNB alone which would miss between 5 and 10% metastases
Leijte et al, 2008 (15)	50	Prospective	Superiority of SPECT CT over conventional lymphoscintigraphy
Brouwer et al, 2014 (42)	10	Prospective	Successful use of preoperatively acquired SPECT/CT for intraoperative navigation
Brouwer et al, 2014 (27)	65	Prospective	Superiority of hybrid tracer over patent blue dye
<i>Validating the technique</i>			
Tanis et al, 2002 (33)	90	Prospective	80% sensitivity of dynamic SLNB
Leijte et al, 2007 (35)	150	Retrospective	Reduction in false negative rate and complication rate with introduction of pre-operative FNAC and intra-operative palpation.
Lam et al, 2013 (37)	264	Prospective	DSNB combined with USS demonstrates high sensitivity staging of penile cancer with low false negative rates (94% vs 91%)
<i>Examining outcomes</i>			
Perdona et al, 2005 (38)	48	Retrospective	Dynamic SLNB has similar results to radical inguinal lymphadenectomy but with lower morbidity.
Djajingrat et al, 2013 (40)	1000	Retrospective	Contemporary management of regional lymph nodes has led to an improvement in 5 year survival (92% vs 89%)

Concerns regarding false negative rates remained until 2007 when Leijte et al. demonstrated that the combination of SLNB with ultrasound with or without fine needle aspiration cytology further increased sensitivity (35), a finding that was supported by Crawshaw et al. in 2009 (36). A recent paper studying 500 inguinal basins carried out at a tertiary centre, cited a 94% sensitivity per patient when SLNB with ultrasound was used, compared to 91% with blue dye and gamma probe guided SLNB alone (37).

Since the introduction of SLNB, there has been a significant improvement in both morbidity and mortality of patients with penile cancer. A study by Djajadiningrat et al. published in the journal of urology in 2014 looked at the 5 year cancer specific survival of patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the penis. They compared the 5 year cancer survival rate before the introduction of SLNB and after and found a statistically significant difference, 91% compared to 82%, with a p value of 0.021 (38). This was not the first paper with such findings. A Dutch study in 2005, cited 3 year cancer specific survival of patients with positive lymph nodes at 84% for those who underwent SLNB and immediate lymphadenectomy and at 35% for those who had lymph nodes excised only after they became clinically palpable during a period of surveillance. They concluded that SLNB led to earlier identification of positive nodes and that the subsequent early resection directly resulted in a survival benefit (39). The introduction of SLNB has also seen a reduction in morbidity. A study which assessed a group of 48 patients who underwent radical lymphadenectomy, found that 21 suffered with early complications and 18 with late complications, compared to just three early complications seen in the 22 patients who underwent SLNB (40).

SLNB in penile cancer has a high sensitivity and there is well documented, albeit largely retrospective, evidence that it reduces morbidity and mortality. In most patients it allows for more accurate staging but despite this, not all studies have found in its favor. Hungerhuber et al (41), reported on the limited value of sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with clinically suspicious lymph nodes and it is the existence of such papers that explains why inguinal node dissection with frozen section or preoperative fine needle aspiration remains the preferred procedure in such patients.

Use in pelvic malignancies

Knowledge of the extent of lymphatic invasion in prostate and bladder cancer allows clinicians to stage disease and determine duration and strength of adjuvant therapy. In fact, excision of positive nodes in the absence of distant metastases can even be curative (43). However, views on the use of sentinel nodes in pelvic cancer differ.

Being almost unchanged in size and with microscopic metastases and untraceable using radiological techniques, positive lymph nodes in the pelvis are often difficult to identify. The use of SLNB can address this but controversy surrounding the pattern of lymphatic drainage and the extent of subsequent lymphadenectomy required persists. It is agreed however that with the prostate and bladder being midline structures, lymph may drain to either side indiscriminately and thus that lymph nodes from both sides should be sampled with findings being reported not only per person but per side, as is already the case in cervical cancer (44).

Lymph from the prostate drains via one of three routes, either draining to internal iliac lymph nodes, to external iliac lymph nodes and thus forming a paravesical plexus or travelling posteriorly to sacral lymph nodes (45). Elucidation of the drainage of prostate cancer cells would allow better management of patients with medium or high risk cancers but studies thus far have failed to clearly define this except to note that tumour location within the gland can have an impact (46).

In 2013, Joniau et al. used a combination of radioactive tracer, planar scintigraphy and SPECT imaging in order to map lymphatic drainage in 74 patients with prostate cancer. They identified sentinel nodes mainly in 5 regions: obturator fossa (25%), internal iliac (25%), external iliac (19%), common iliac (14%), presacral (13%) but noted sentinel nodes also in the pararectal, paravesical and para-aortic regions, in mesenteric fat and at the aortic bifurcation. Their findings reiterated concerns that sentinel nodes are often widely spread suggesting potential for positive lymph nodes in a large number of areas that current lymphadenectomy margins do not account for (47).

Pelvic lymphadenectomy can be broadly categorized into three divisions. 1) Standard lymphadenectomy, which involves resection of all lymphatic and fibrofatty tissue that comprise the external iliac nodes and obturator nodes. 2) Limited lymphadenectomy, which is the removal of the external iliac nodes only, whilst 3) extended lymphadenectomy excises the hypogastric

nodes as well as the external iliac and obturator nodes, although some surgeons may also remove the subaortic and presacral nodes (48). The margins of an extended lymphadenectomy remain controversial with two separate studies suggesting that resection at current margins allows for the possibility to undissected lymph nodes and consequently proposing new wider alternatives (47-49). A recent prospective study demonstrated that standard or limited dissection alone would have missed 51.9% and 74.1% of metastatic lymph nodes in a cohort of 200 prostate cancer patients (50). With this and previous studies in mind, prior to SLNB, extended pelvic lymphadenectomy was accepted as the only reliable way for a surgeon to stage the patient's disease despite being associated with higher morbidity when compared to standard lymphadenectomy (51-52).

The decision to proceed to lymphadenectomy in pelvic cancer is made based on validated mathematical

algorithms such as Partin tables and Briganti nomograms for prostate cancer (53-54) and nomograms set out by Green et al. and Karakiewicz et al. in bladder cancer (55-56). Such algorithms calculate the likelihood of tumor extending beyond the primary organ and those deemed to have low risk cancer do not proceed to lymphadenectomy. Previously, with no way to accurately differentiate between those with lymph positive disease and those without, all patients calculated to have medium or high risk cancer underwent extended lymphadenectomy despite the associated high morbidity, now such patients undergo SLNB instead. In 2012, Winter et al. questioned the validity of existing algorithms when used with SLNB. They found that previous algorithms (validated on extended pelvic node dissections) were underestimating the incidence of LN involvement (57) and therefore introduced the first LN involvement probability table for use with SLNB.

Table 2: SLNB in prostate cancer

Author, year	Cohort size	Methodology	Conclusion
<i>Introduction and Development of technique</i>			
Augsburg group, 1999 (59)	11	Prospective	Feasibility of SLNB in prostate cancer
Corvin et al, 2006 (68)	28	Prospective	Introduction of Laparoscopic SLNB in prostate cancer
Wynant et al, 2006 (66)	40	Prospective	Immunoscintigraphy can be used to identify positive LNs in prostate cancer
EAU guidelines, 2011 (70)	N/A	N/A	Patients with a more than or equal to 7% risk of having lymph node metastases from prostate cancer (calculated using nomograms) should undergo lymph node dissection
Van der Poel, 2011 (26)	11	Prospective	Use of an agent that is both radioactive and fluorescent can be used to optimise LN dissection in prostate cancer
Briganti et al, 2012 (65)	1541	Retrospective	CT is a poor tool for identification of prostate cancer lymph node metastases with high specificity and low sensitivity
Hardie et al, 2013 (67)	18/12	Retrospective	Combining SPECT/CT and MRI increases sensitivity and specificity of identification of lymph node metastases in prostate cancer (sensitivity of CT: 40%, combined 88.9; specificity of CT: 96.7%, combined 98.5%)
<i>Validating the technique</i>			
Holl et al, 2009 (64)	2020	Retrospective	SLNB has 98% intraoperative detection rate with a false negative rate of 6%

Along with penile and breast cancer, the use of a multimodal tracers such as indocyanine green, has been proposed in pelvic cancers. In 2012 Jeschke et al. successfully demonstrated that, using a combination of fluorescence navigation and radiolabelling, technetium labelled indocyanine green provides an equally effective, real time alternative to blue dye, a finding supported by Manny et al in 2014 (58, 24, 25). This is likely to be the future of SLNB in pelvic malignancies.

Prostate cancer

The use of SLNB in prostate cancer was first proposed by the Augsburg group in 1999. They initially examined eleven patients using dynamic lymphoscintigraphy with preoperative radionuclide being administered transrectally and detected using an intra-operative gamma probe (59). Having successfully demonstrated the success of that technique they performed a larger study with 117 patients, 25 of whom had lymph node metastases and in 24 of the 25 demonstrated 96% sensitivity (60).

Since then many studies have reiterated the high sensitivity and specificity of SLNB in prostate cancer (61-63). The largest of these examined 2020 patients who underwent SLNB over a ten year period. They cited an intra-operative detection rate of 98% when using a preoperative gamma camera and an intra-operative gamma probe. As in penile cancer, false negatives were identified as a potential concern (a 6.2% false negative rate was cited). One potential cause for false negatives were macrometastases blocking lymph node channels and the recommendation was made that all patients being considered for SLNB should first undergo a CT to exclude these (64).

As in penile cancer, SPECT CT was explored as alternative to planar scintigraphy but here with poor results (65). Immunoscintigraphy using monoclonal antibodies was also explored (66), although lymphoscintigraphy combining SPECT CT and MRI was found to be more effective with combined use increasing the sensitivity from 40% with CT alone to 88.9% (67).

Table 3: SLNB in bladder cancer

Author, year	Cohort size	Methodology	Conclusion
<i>Introduction and Development of technique</i>			
Sherif et al, 2001 (71)	13	Prospective	Introduction of SLNB (preoperative scintigraphy, radioactive tracer and patent blue dye) in bladder cancer
Sherif et al, 2006 (73)	6	Prospective	Use of SPECT CT imaging allows for better preoperative identification of lymph nodes in patients with bladder cancer than planar imaging
Inoue et al, 2012 (23)	12	Prospective	Introduction of NIRF in bladder cancer
Manny et al, 2014 (24)	10	Prospective	NIRF is feasible for use in robotically assisted procedures
<i>Validating the technique</i>			
Liedberg et al, 2006 (72)	75	Prospective	Use of dynamic SLNB (pre and intra operative scintigraphy, patent blue dye and ex vivo gamma probe examination) along with extended serial sectioning increases bladder cancer nodal staging by 25% but with a false negative rate of 19%

In 2006 Corvin et al. proposed the idea of laparoscopic sentinel node dissection postulating that this would result in lower morbidity, less postoperative pain and shorter hospital stays. Using a gamma camera for preoperative lymph mapping and a laparoscopic gamma probe intra-operatively they proved that laparoscopic sentinel node biopsy can be an acceptable alternative to extended pelvic LND (68), a hypothesis that has since been supported by experience in gynaecological cancers (69).

Bladder cancer

The concept of sentinel node biopsy was introduced to bladder cancer in 2001. Using a combination of scintigraphy, radioactive tracer and blue dye, Sherif et al. identified SNs in thirteen patients awaiting radical cystectomy. After extended pelvic lymphadenectomy has occurred, histopathology confirmed that all of the four positive SNs were detected using the preoperative techniques despite three of them lying outside the standard lymphadenectomy area (71). This study cited a false negative rate of 0% however a larger study looking at 75 patients with invasive bladder cancer quoted 19%, a figure that highlights one of the persistent concerns around SLNB. Despite this, the study successfully demonstrated that the use of pre and intra-operative lymphoscintigraphy, patent blue dye and ex vivo examination with a gamma probe along-side extended serial sectioning improved bladder cancer

nodal staging in 25% of patients (72). It is in this form that dynamic SLNB is currently performed in patients with bladder malignancy. However, the introduction of robot assisted sentinel node excision and NIRF is likely to change that in the coming year.

Use in Other Urological Malignancies

Testicular cancer

The use of SNs in testicular malignancy is a relatively new concept. Taking a lead from penile and prostate cancer, Tanis et al. introduced SLNB to testicular cancer in 2002 (74). They demonstrated that preoperative dynamic lymphoscintigraphy using technetium-99m nanocolloid could be used to identify SNs in testicular cancer. In 2005, using a cohort of 22 patients, urologists in Japan built on this further by demonstrating that SLNB using a combination of lymphoscintigraphy using technetium 99m labelled phytate and intra-operative hand held gamma probe yielded detection rate of 95% in stage one testicular cancer (75).

In light of limited evidence in support of its use, and the use of adjuvant chemo and radiotherapy in achieving very low recurrence rates using standard lymphadenectomy, SLNB does not currently have a role in lymph node mapping in testicular malignancy with EAU guidelines suggesting that CT scans be used for staging instead (76).

Table 4: SLNB in testicular cancer

Author, year	Cohort size	Methodology	Conclusion
<i>Introduction and Development of technique</i>			
Tanis et al, 2002 (74)	5	Prospective	Introduction of SLNB in testicular cancer using preoperative lymphoscintigraphy
Satoh et al, 2005 (75)	22	Prospective	Introduction of dynamic SLNB introduced to testicular cancer using preoperative lymphoscintigraphy and hand held gamma probe

Renal cancer

As a malignancy that has a well-recognized haematogenous spread and an unpredictable lymphatic drainage, LND in renal cancer has a limited role (77-79). Metastatic spread has been shown to have a high correlation with size of tumor (80), so with advancing

technology allowing for earlier diagnosis of smaller tumors, SLNB may have both a prognostic and therapeutic role to play by identifying and removing nodal disease in patients without distant metastases.

The use of SLNB in renal cancer was first studied in porcine models by Bernie et al (81). Using preoperative

radionuclide tracer in combination with US, CT and SPECT scanning and intra-operative hand held gamma probes Bex et al. successfully demonstrated that Bernie's technique could be used to identify SNs in six of eight human patients (16). This was supported by a study of thirteen patients in Sweden which concluded that radioguided surgery in combination with imaging modalities and patent blue dye could identify SNs in

renal cancer but that further work was needed to decide the combination of the most efficacious modes of detection (82). At time of writing, LND and extended LND continues to be the accepted management of those with clinically node positive disease without distant metastases or to reduce tumor bulk in those with distant metastases.

Table 5: SLNB in renal cancer

Author, year	Cohort size	Methodology	Conclusion
<i>Introduction and Development of technique</i>			
Bex et al, 2010 (16)	8	Prospective	SLNB has potential for use in renal cancer in humans
Sherif et al, 2012 (82)	13	Prospective	Radioguided surgery and patent blue dye can be used to identify positive nodes in patients with metastatic renal cancer

Conclusion:

Since its introduction SLNB has shown itself to be invaluable in the management of urological cancer. Introduced to improve staging at a lower morbidity cost and initially solely dependent on lymphangiograms, SNLB in its current form has evolved to become a dynamic technique with excellent sensitivity and specificity figures. Here is the overall recommendation:

1. Penile cancer: With high sensitivity and specificity data and strong morbidity and mortality outcomes, we recommend the use of SNLB in penile cancer
2. Prostate Cancer: There is good evidence to support the use of SLNB in prostate cancer but concerns around false negatives persist. This procedure does have potential but in the absence of further outcome data we cannot recommend its use.

3. Bladder cancer: There is good evidence to support the use of SLNB in bladder cancer but concerns around false negatives persist. This procedure does have potential but in the absence of outcome data we cannot recommend its use.
4. Testicular cancer: There is currently no evidence to support the use of SLNB in testicular cancer over other less invasive techniques.
5. Renal cancer: There is currently not enough evidence to support the use of SLNB in renal cancer.

With a role in penile cancer well defined, its feasibility in pelvic cancers well established and with the introduction of NIRF and hybrid tracers, SLNB will continue to improve the management, morbidity and mortality of patients with urological cancers in the future.

References

1. Halsted WS. I. The results of radical operations for the cure of carcinoma of the breast. *Ann Surg* 1907 Jul; 46 (1): 1-19. PMID: 17861990
2. Gould EA, Winship, T, Philbin PH, Kerr HH. Observations on a "sentinel node" in cancer of the parotid. *Cancer* 1960 Jan-Feb; 13:77-78 PMID: 13828575

3. Langer I, Guller U, Berclaz G, Koechli OR, Schaer G, Fehr MK, et al. Morbidity of sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLN) alone versus SLN and completion axillary lymph node dissection after breast cancer surgery: a prospective Swiss multicenter study on 659 patients. *Ann Surg* 2007 Mar; 245 (3):452-61 PMID: 17435553
4. Pettaway CA, Pisters LL, Dinney CP, Jularbal F, Swanson DA, von Eschenbach AC et al. Sentinel lymph node dissection for penile carcinoma: The MD Anderson Cancer Center experience. *J Urol* 1995 Dec; 154(6): 1999-2003 PMID: 7500444
5. Morton DL, Wen DR, Cochran AJ. Pathophysiology of regional lymph node metastases in early melanoma studied by intra-operative mapping of the cutaneous lymphatics [abstract]. Second International Conference on Melanoma. 1989:131.
6. Giuliano AE, Kirgan DM, Guenther JM, Morton DL. Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymphadenectomy for breast cancer. *Ann Surg* 1994 Sep; 220(3):391-398. PMID: 8092905
7. Alex JC, Krag DN. Gamma-probe guided localization of lymph nodes. *Journal of surgical oncology* 1993; 2:137-43. PMID: 8252203
8. Neto AS, Tobias-Mochado M, Ficarra V, Wroclawski ML, Dal Moro Amarante R, Pompeo ACL, et al. Dynamic sentinel node biopsy in inguinal lymph node staging in patients with penile cancer: a systematic review and cumulative analysis of the literature. *Annals of surgical oncology* 2011 Jul; 18(7):2026-2034 PMID: 21308487
9. Paredes P, Vidal-Sicart S, Zanón G, Roé N, Rubí S, Lafuente S, et al. Radioguided occult lesion localisation in breast cancer using an intra-operative portable gamma camera: first results. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2008 Feb;35(2):230-5 PMID: 18043918
10. Olmos RA, Vidal-Sicart S, Nieweg OE. SPECT-CT and real-time intra-operative imaging: new tools for sentinel node localization and radioguided surgery? *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2009 Jan;36(1):1-5 PMID: 18931842
11. Vermeeren L, Valdés Olmos RA, Klop WM, Balm AJ, van den Brekel MW. A portable gamma-camera for intra-operative detection of sentinel nodes in the head and neck region. *J Nucl Med* 2010 may;51(5):700-3 PMID: 20395319
12. Mathéron HM, van der Berg NS, Brouwer OR, Kleinjan GH, van Driel WJ, Trum JW et al. Multimodal surgical guidance towards the sentinel node in vulvar cancer. *Gynaecol Oncol* 2013 Dec; 131(3):720-5. PMID: 24051219
13. Vidal-Sicart S, Vermeeren L, Sola O, Paredes P, Valdes-Olmos RA. The use of a portable gamma camera for preoperative lymphatic mapping: a comparison with conventional gamma camera. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol imaging* 2011 Apr; 38(4): 636-41 PMID: 21174091
14. Sherif A, Garske U, de la Torre M, Thorn M. Hybrid SPECT-CT: An Additional technique for Sentinel node detection of patients with invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol* 2006 Jul; 50(1): 83-91. PMID: 16632191
15. Leijte JA, Valdes Olmos RA, Nieweg OE, Horenblas S. Anatomical mapping of lymphatic drainage in penile carcinoma with SPECT-CT: implications for the extent of inguinal node dissection. *Eur Urol* 2008 Oct; 54(4): 885-90 PMID 18502024
16. Bex A, Vermeeren L, de Windt G, Prevoo W, Horenblas S, Olmos RA. Feasibility of sentinel node detection in renal cellcarcinoma: a pilot study. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2010 Jun; 37(6): 1117-23 PMID 20111964
17. Buda A, Elisei F, Arosio M, Dolci C, Signorelli M, Perego P, et al. Integration of hybrid single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography in the preoperative assessment of sentinel node in patients with cervical and endometrial cancer: our experience and literature review. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2012 Jun; 22(5):830-835. PMID 22617479
18. Uren RF, Howman-Giles R, Chung DKV, Spillane AJ, Noushi F, Gillett D, et al. SPECT/CT scans allow precise anatomical location of sentinel lymph nodes in breast cancer and redefine lymphatic drainage from the breast to the axilla. *Breast* 2012 Aug; 21(4): 480-486 PMID: 22153573
19. Even-Sapir E, Lerman H, Lievshitz G, Khafif A, Fliss DM, Schwartz A, et al. Lymphoscintigraphy for sentinel node mapping using a hybrid SPECT/CT system. *J Nucl Med* 2003 Sep; 44(9): 1413-1420 PMID: 12960185
20. Josephson L, Mahmood U, Wunderbaldinger P, Tang Y, Weissleder R. Pan and sentinel node visualization using near-infrared fluorescent probe. *Mol Imaging* 2003 Jan; 2(1):18-23 PMID: 12926234
21. Melancon MP, Wang Y, Wen X, Bankson JA, Stephens LC, Jasser S, et al. Development of macromolecular dual-modality MR-optical imaging for sentinel lymph node mapping. *Invest Radiol* 2007 Aug; 42(8):569-78 PMID: 17620940
22. Inoue S, Shiina H, Arichii N, Mitsui Y, Hiraoka T, Wake K, et al. Identification of lymphatic pathway involved in the spreading of prostate cancer by fluorescence navigation approach with intraoperatively injected indocyanine green. *Can Urol Assoc J* 2011 Aug; 5(4): 254-259 PMID: 21801682
23. Inoue S, Shiina H, Arichii N, Mitsui Y, Yasumoto H, Matsubara A, et al. Identification of lymphatic pathway involved in the spreading of bladder cancer: evidence obtained from fluorescence navigation with intraoperatively injected indocyanine green. *Can Urol Assoc J* 2012 Sep; 10: 1-7 PMID: 23069700

24. Manny TB, Hemal AK. Fluorescence- enhanced robotic radical cystectomy using unconjugated indocyanine green for pelvic lymphangiography, tumour marking and mesenteric angiography: the initial clinical experience. *Urology* 2014 Apr; 83(4):824-9 PMID: 24680450
25. Manny TB, Hemal AK. Fluorescence- enhanced robotic radical prostatectomy using real time lymphangiography and tissue marking with percutaneous injection of unconjugated indocyanine green: the initial clinical experience in 50 patients. *Eur Urol* 2014 Jun; 65(6):1162-8 PMID: 24289911
26. Van der Poel HG, Buckle T, Brouwer O, Valdés Olmos R, van Leeuwen FWB. Intraoperative laparoscopic fluorescence guidance to the sentinel lymph node in prostate cancer patients: clinical proof of concept of an integrated functional imaging approach using a multimodal tracer. *Eur Urol* 2011 Oct; 60(4):826-833 PMID: 21458154
27. Brouwer OR, van der Berg NS, Matheron HM, van der Poel HG, van Rhijn BW, Bex A, et al. A Hybrid Radioactive and Fluorescent Tracer for Sentinel Node Biopsy in Penile Carcinoma as a Potential Replacement for Blue Dye. *Eur Urol* 2014 Mar; 65(3): 600-9 PMID: 24355132
28. Brouwer OR, Buckle T, Vermeeren L, Klop WM, Balm AJ, van der Poel HG, et al. Comparing the hybrid fluorescent-radioactive tracer indocyanine green-99mTc-nanocolloid with 99mTc-nanocolloid for sentinel node identification: a validation study using lymphoscintigraphy and SPECT/CT. *J Nucl Med* 2012 Jul; 53(7): 1034-40 PMID:22645297
29. Watkin N, La-Touche S. Penile cancer: Developments in sentinel lymph node biopsy for penile cancer. *Nat Rev Urol* 2014 Mar; 11(3): 137-7 PMID: 24567086
30. Schaafsma BE, Verbeek FP, Rietbergen DD, van der Hiel B, van der Vorst JR, Liefers GJ, et al. Clinical trial of combined radio- and fluorescence-guided sentinel lymph node biopsy in breast cancer. *Br J Surg* 2013 Jul; 100(8): 1037-44 PMID: 23696463
31. Cabanas, R. M. An approach for the treatment of penile carcinoma. *Cancer* 1977 Feb; 39(2): 456–466. PMID 837331
32. Horenblas, S. et al. Detection of occult metastasis in squamous cell carcinoma of the penis using a dynamic sentinel node procedure. *J Urol* 2000 Jan; 163(1), 100–104 PMID: 10604324
33. Tanis PJ, Lont AP, Meinhardt W, Olmos RA, Nieweg OE, Horenblas S. Dynamic sentinel node biopsy for penile cancer: reliability of a staging technique. *J Urol* 2002 Jul; 168(1):76-80 PMID: 12050496
34. Kroon BK, Horenblas S, Meinhardt W, Van der Poel HG, Bex A, van Tinteren H, et al. Dynamic sentinel node biopsy in penile carcinoma: evaluation of 10 years experience. *Eur Urol* 2005 May; 47: 601-6 PMID: 15826750
35. Leijte JA, Kroon BK, Valdes Olmos RA, Nieweg OE, Horenblas S. Reliability and Safety of Current Dynamic Sentinel Node Biopsy for Penile Carcinoma. *Eur Urol* 2007 Jul; 52(1):170-7 PMID:17316967
36. Crawshaw JW, Hadway P, Hoffland D, Bassingham S, Corbishley CM, Smith Y, et al. Sentinel lymph node biopsy using dynamic lymphoscintigraphy combined with ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration in penile carcinoma. *Br J Radiol* 2009 Jan; 82(973): 41-8 PMID: 19095815
37. Lam W, Alnajjar HM, La-Touche S, Perry M, Sharma D, Corbishley C, et al. Dynamic sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the penis: a prospective study of the long-term outcome of 500 inguinal basins assessed at a single institution. *Eur Urol* 2013 Apr; 63(4): 657-63 PMID: 23153743
38. Djajadiningrat RS, Graafland NM, van Werkhoven E, Meinhardt W, Bex A, van der Poel H, et al. Contemporary management of regional nodes in penile cancer-improvement of survival? *J Urol* 2014 Jan; 191(1): 68-73 PMID: 23917166
39. Kroon BK, Horenblas S, Lont AP, Tanis PJ, Gallee MP, Nieweg OE. Patients with penile cancer benefit from immediate resection of clinically occult lymph node metastases. *J Urol* 2005 Mar; 173(3): 816-9 PMID: 15711276
40. Perdonà S, Autorino R, De Sio M, Di Lorenzo G, Gallo L, Damiano R, et al. Dynamic sentinel node biopsy in clinically node-negative penile cancer versus radical inguinal lymphadenectomy: A comparative study. *Urology* 2005 Dec; 66(6): 1282-1286 PMID: 16360457
41. Hungerhuber E, Schlenker B, Frimberger D, Linke R, Karl A, Stief CG, et al. Lymphoscintigraphy in penile cancer: limited value of sentinel node biopsy in patients with clinically suspicious lymph nodes. *World J Urol* 2006 Aug; 24(3):319-324 PMID: 16688459
42. Brouwer OR, van der Berg NS, Matheron HM, van der Poel, HG, Horenblas S, Valdes Olmos RA, et al. Feasibility of intraoperative navigation to the sentinel node in the groin using preoperatively acquired single photo emission computerized tomography data: transferring functional imaging to the operating room. *J Urol* 2014 Dec; 192(6): 1810-6 PMID: 25066868
43. Woods M, Ouwenga M, Quek ML. The role of pelvic lymphadenectomy in the management of prostate and bladder cancer. *Scientific World J* 2007 Mar; 7: 789-799. PMID: 17619762
44. Eiriksson LR, Covens A. Sentinel Lymph node mapping in cervical cancer: the future? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 2012 Jan;119(2); 129-133 PMID:21917113
45. Gil-Vernet JM. Prostate cancer: anatomical and surgical considerations. *Br J Urol* 1996 Aug;78(2):161–8. PMID: 8813905

46. Tokuda Y, Carlino LJ, Gopalan A, et al. Prostate cancer topography and patterns of lymph node metastasis. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2010 Dec; 34(12):1862–7 PMID: 21107093
47. Joniau S, van den Bergh L, Ierut E, Deroose CM, Haustermans K, Oyen R, et al. Mapping of pelvic lymph node metastases in prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2013 Mar; 63(3): 450-458 PMID: 22795517
48. Hyndman ME, Mullins JK, Pavlovich CP. Pelvic node dissection in prostate cancer: extended, limited, or not at all. *Current opinion in Urology* 2010 May; 20(3):211-217 PMID: 20224412
49. Mattei A, Fuechsel FG, Bhatta Dhar N, Warncke SH, Thalmann GN, Krause T, et al. The template of primary lymphatic landing sites in prostate cancer should be revisited: results of a multimodality study. *Eur Urol* 2008 Jan; 53(1):118-25 PMID: 17709171
50. Rousseau C, Rousseau T, Campion L, Lacoste J, Aillet G, Potiron E, et al. Laparoscopic sentinel lymph node versus hyperextensive pelvic dissection for staging clinically localised prostate carcinoma: A prospective study of 200 patients. *J Nucl Med* 2014 May;55(5):753-8 PMID: 24686781
51. Briganti A, Blute ML, Eastham JH, Graefen M, Heidenrich A, Karnes JR, et al. Pelvic lymph node dissection in prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2009 Jun; 55(6):1251-65 PMID: 19297079
52. Rudoni M, Sacchetti GM, Leva L, Inglese E, Monesi G, Minocci D, et al. Recent applications of the sentinel lymph node concept: Preliminary experience in prostate cancer. *Tumori* 2002 May; 88(3):16–7. PMID: 12365372
53. Partin AW, Kattan MW, Subong EN, Walsh PC, Wojno KJ, Oesterling JE, et al. Combination of prostate specific antigen, clinical stage, and gleason score to predict pathological stage of localized prostate cancer. A multi-institutional update. *JAMA* 1997 May; 277(18):1445-51 PMID: 9145716
54. Briganti A, Larcher A, Abdollah F, Capitanio U, Gallina A, Suardi N et al. Updated nomogram predicting lymph node invasion in patients with prostate cancer undergoing extended pelvic lymph node dissection: the essential importance of percentage of positive cores. *Eur Urol* 2012 Mar;61(3):480-487 PMID: 22078338
55. Green DA, Rink M, Hansen J, Cha EK, Robinson B, Tian Z, et al. Acute preoperative prediction of non organ confined bladder urothelial carcinoma at cystectomy. *BJU Int* 2013 Mar; 111(3): 404-11. PMID: 22805163
56. Karakiewicz PI, Shariat SF, Palapattu GS, Perrotte P, Lotan Y, Rogers CG, et al. Precystectomy nomogram for prediction of advanced bladder cancer stage. *Eur Urol* 2006 Dec; 50(6):1254-1262 PMID: 16831511
57. Winter A, Kneib T, Henke RP, Wawroschek F. Sentinel lymph node dissection in more than 1200 prostate cancer cases: Rate and prediction of lymph node involvement depending on preoperative tumor characteristics. *Int J Urol* 2014 Jan; 21(1):58-63 PMID: 23659488
58. Jeschke S, Lusuardi L, Myatt A, Hruby S, Pirich C, Janetschek G. Visualisation of lymph node pathway in real time by laparoscopic radio-isotope and fluorescence guided dissection in prostate cancer staging. *Urology* 2012 Nov; 80(5): 1080-6 PMID: 22990053
59. Wawroschek F, Vogt H, Weckermann D, Wagner T, Harzmann R. The sentinel lymph node concept in prostate cancer—first results of gamma probe-guided sentinel lymph node identification. *Eur Urol* 1999 Dec;36(6):595–600. PMID: 10559614
60. Wawroschek F, Vogt H, Weckermann D, Wagner T, Hamm M, Harzmann R. Radioisotope guided pelvic lymph node dissection for prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2001 Nov; 166(5): 1715-9 PMID: 11586208
61. Silva N, Anselmi CE, Anselmi OE, Madke RR, Hunsche A, Souto JS, et al. Use of the gamma probe in sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with prostate cancer. *Nucl Med Commun* 2005 Dec;26(12):1081–6. PMID: 16264354
62. Takashima H, Egawa M, Imao T, Fukuda M, Yokoyama K, Namiki M. Validity of sentinel lymph node concept for patients with prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2004 Jun; 171(6):2268–71. PMID: 15126800
63. Beri A, Janetschek G. Technology insight: Radioguided sentinel lymph node dissection in the staging of prostate cancer. *Nat Clin Pract Urol* 2006 Nov;3(11):602–10. PMID: 17088928
64. Holl G, Dorn R, Wegenmair H, Weckermann D, Sciuk J. Validation of sentinel node dissection in prostate cancer: experience in more than 2000 patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2009 Sep; 36(9): 1377-82. PMID 19430782
65. Briganti A, Abdollah F, Nini A, Suardi N, Gallina A, Capitanio U, et al. Performance characteristics of computed tomography in detecting lymph node metastases in contemporary patients with prostate cancer treated with extended pelvic lymph node dissection. *Eur Urol* 2012 Jun; 61(6): 1132-8 PMID 22-09610
66. Wynant GE, Murphy GP, Horoszewicz JS, Neal CE, Collier BD, Mitchell E, et al. Immunoscintigraphy of prostatic cancer: Preliminary results with ¹¹¹In-labeled monoclonal antibody 7E11-C5.3 (CYT-356). *The prostate* 1991; 18(3):229-241
67. Hardie AD, Reiter WJ, Bradshaw ML, Gordon LL, Young MA, Keane TE. Improved performance of SPECT CT In ¹¹¹In capromab pendetide by correlation with diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging for identifying metastatic pelvic lymphadenopathy in prostate cancer. *World J Urol* 2013;31(6):1327-32 PMID:23595605

68. Corvin S, Schilling D, Eichhorn K, Hundt I, Hennenlotter J, Anastasiadis AG, et al. Laparoscopic sentinel lymph node dissection: A novel technique for the staging of prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2006 Feb;49(2):280–5. PMID: 16364536
69. Diaz-Feijoo B, Gil-Moreno A, Pérez-Benavente MA, Morchón S, Martínez-Palones JM, Xercavins J. Sentinel lymph node identification and radical hysterectomy with lymphadenectomy in early stage cervical cancer: laparoscopy versus laparotomy. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 2008 Sep; 15(5):531-7 PMID: 18657483
70. Heidenreich A, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Jonaiu S, Mason M, Matveev V, et al. EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. Part 1: screening, diagnosis, and treatment of clinically localised disease. *Eur Urol* 2011 Jan; 59(1): 61–71. PMID 21056534
71. Sherif A, De La Torre M, Malmström PU, Thörn M. Lymphatic mapping and detection of sentinel nodes in patients with bladder cancer. *J Urol* 2001 Sep; 166(3):812-815 PMID: 11490224
72. Liedberg F, Chebil G, Davidsson T, Gudjonsson S, Mansson W. Intraoperative sentinel node detection improves nodal staging in invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol* 2006 Jan;175(1):84-88 PMID: 16406877
73. Sherif A, Garske U, de la Torre M, Thorn M. Hybrid SPECT-CT: an additional technique for sentinel node detection in patients with invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol* 2006 Jul; 50(1): 83-91 PMID 16632191
74. Tanis PJ, Horenblas S, Valdés Olmos RA, Hoefnagel CA, Nieweg OE. Feasibility of sentinel node lymphoscintigraphy in stage I testicular cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2002 May;29(5):670–673. PMID: 11976806
75. Satoh M, Ito A, Kaiho Y, Nakagawa H, Saito S, Endo M, et al. Intraoperative, radio-guided sentinel lymph node mapping in laparoscopic lymph node dissection for Stage I testicular carcinoma. *Cancer* 2005 May; 103(10): 2067-72 PMID: 15803493
76. Albers P, Albrecht W, Algaba F, Bokemeyer C, Cohn-Cedermark G, Fizazi K, et al. European Association of Urology. EAU guidelines on testicular cancer: 2011 update. *European Association of Urology. Eur Urol* 2011 Aug; 60(2): 304-19. PMID: 21632173
77. Blom JH, van Poppel H, Maréchal JM, Jacqmin D, Schröder FH, de Prijck L, Sylvester R. EORTC Genitourinary Tract Cancer Group. Radical nephrectomy with and without LND 2009 Radical nephrectomy with and without lymph-node dissection: final results of European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) randomized phase 3 trial 30881. *Eur Urol* 2009 Jan; 55(1): 28-34 PMID 18848382
78. Barrisford GW, Gershman B, Blute ML Sr. The role of lymphadenectomy in the management of renal cell carcinoma. *World J Urol* 2014 Jun; 32(3):643-9. PMID: 24723269
79. Phillips CK, Taneja SS. The role of lymphadenectomy in surgical management of renal cell cancer. *Urol Oncol* 2004 May; 22(3): 214-23 PMID: 15271320
80. Matsuyama H, Hirata H, Korenaga Y, Wada T, Nagao K, Yamaguchi S, et al. Clinical significance of lymph node dissection in renal cell carcinoma. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 2005; 39(1): 30-5. PMID: 15764268
81. Bernie JE, Zupkas P, Monga M. Intraoperative mapping of renal lymphatic drainage: technique and application in a porcine model. *J Endourol* 2003 May; 17(4): 235-7 PMID: 12816587
82. Sherif AM, Eriksson E, Thörn M, Vasko J, Riklund K, Ohberg L, et al. Sentinel node detection in renal cell carcinoma. A feasibility study for detection of tumour-draining lymph nodes. *BJU Int* 2012 Apr; 109(8): 1134-9 PMID: 21883833.